

Preparing for Mainframe Security **Vulnerabilities**



Finding Awareness, Virtuality, Boundaries, and Humanity in Mainframe Security

Reg Harbeck Chief Strategist Mainframe Analytics, Ltd.





Approach

- Pre-Computing Security Awareness
- Security Virtualization and Virtuality
- Passive versus Active Approaches
- Mandates
- Dissolving the Boundary Between History and Real-Time
- Reaction, Alerting, Automation, and Platform Choice
- Pervasive Humanity



Pre-Computing Security Awareness

- Trust, Group Identities, Shibboleths, Rumpelstiltskin
- Armor, Walled Cities, Keeps
- Locks, Doors, Guards, Passwords
- Rules, Laws, Sanctions, Enforcers, Legal Systems
- Records: Individuals, Chronicles, Logs

"Those who cannot remember the past are condemned to repeat it." - George Santayana



Security Virtualization and Virtuality

- Locked doors and server rooms
- Passwords and resource-attached access
- "I was just kidding" vs Military-grade security
- Rings of security?
- Separation of duties; externalization of security
- Secrets, integrity, but not trust
- ► SMF, SYSLOGs, other LOGs
- ► Three types...



Passive versus Active Approaches

- Know the past, but it's not enough
- Know patterns, but it's not enough
- Build protection, but it won't stay enough
- Look for what isn't the case, not just "eureka"
- Stay informed on issues and fixes



Mandates!

- Accounting principles
- Professional standards
- Business and stock market laws, rules
- PCI, HIPĂA, GLBA, PIPEDA, GDPR, etc.
- Limitations: Moore's Law and Murphy's Law



Dissolving the Boundary Between History and Real-Time

- Real-time notification of alerts
- Intelligence, pattern matching, emergent patterns: Al
- Consolidation of sources
- Selection of sources
- Dynamic adjustment, refinement, curation



Reaction, Alerting, Automation, and Platform Choice

- "Automate as close as possible to the source" refers to keeping events, reactions, and targets close
- But with multiple platforms, the locus is the business and its people
- Synchronous, on-platform, vs asynchronous, multi-platform
- Flooding of automated actions can become DoS; likewise with alerts
- Build and respond to a four-dimensional picture
- ...because it's all about...



Pervasive Humanity

Oh, the humanity!





"Technology out of control" and "The man behind the curtain"

Humanity: the standard, the target, the meaning, the future

- The shape of things to come orbits the shape of humanity
- ▶ The choice of what matters, how to react and proact, always comes home



Improving Mainframe Security by Addressing Vulnerabilities through SIEM

Colin van der Ross Sr. Systems Engineer Software Diversified Services





Agenda

- 2022 Cost of a Data Breach Report Ponemon
- What is SIEM and why should you integrate the mainframe into your SIEM strategy?
- z/OS security events you should consider sending to your SIEM





2022 Cost of a Data Breach Report: IBM & Ponemon Institute

- Average total cost of a data beach in the US is \$9.44 million.
- It took an average of 277 days to identify and contain a data breach.
- Leveraging AI and automation tools saved \$3.05 million per breach.
- Implementing a zero trust architecture saved \$1 million per breach.
- Extended detection and response (XDR) technologies helped save an average of 29 days in breach response time.



Why integrate z/OS events into SIEM?

- Compliance requirement
 - ▶ PCI, SOX, HIPAA, GLBA, etc.
- Mainframes contain sensitive data
 - ► Target for hackers
 - Large corporations have 70% of data on mainframes
- z/OS is not invulnerable
- ► If you have a SIEM, include the mainframe!





What is SIEM? – Security Information & Event Management

- Security Management provides a holistic view of an organization's information technology security
- SIEM combines SIM (Security Information Management) and SEM (Security Event Management) functions into ONE Security Management System

SIEM							
A Disc	sset covery	Vulnerability Assessment	Threat Detection	Event Collection	Correlation	Event Management	Log Storage

SDS VITALSIGNS SIEM AGENT for z/OS | MAINFRAME ANALYTICS LTD



Why SIEM?

- ► SIEM is the core of a defense in-depth strategy
- Attackers leave behind a trace Logs
- Security events provide insight into...
 - When the event occurred?
 - Why it happened?
 - What happened?





- This portion of the presentation is about security events you may want to consider monitoring using a z/OS SIEM data collection agent.
- The suggestions are by no means exhaustive, my goal is to provide general recommendations as a foundation for a thorough security evaluation and configuration of a z/OS SIEM agent.



SDS VITALSIGNS SIEM AGENT for z/OS | MAINFRAME ANALYTICS LTD



Mainframe Vulnerabilities

- Sharing credentials with others
- Unauthorized access to APF libraries
- RACF database improperly protected
- Excessive number of super users
- Data set profiles with UACC(READ) or higher
- Data set and resource profiles in WARN mode





Vulnerability: RACF Database Inadequately Protected

Nefarious user, looking for a way to elevate their security level, issues RVARY command

Video I





Vulnerability: RACF Database Inadequately Protected

- The command works, and the user knows the name of the primary and backup RACF databases
- Knowledge of the RACF database name allows our user to attempt to offload the database using IRRDBU00.
- The output is a flat file image of the RACF database. Using this file, the users with the SPECIAL attribute can be identified
- The passwords are not viewable but, using this information, attempts can be made to guess or crack the passwords of the users with increased authority





Vulnerability: RACF Database Inadequately Protected

- Without the SIEM agent the "unauthorized user" could go on for months unnoticed
- Even if there was no malicious intent, corrective action could be taken immediately to eliminate the vulnerability





Vulnerability: User Increasing Their Own Authority

- User with Special Authority gives themselves Operations Authority
- Perhaps there's some legitimate reason for this but Special and Operations authority on the same user ID is a "dangerous" combination



► The SIEM captures the Security Event

<113>Oct 03 14:10:43 SDS1 CEF:0|SDS|VSA|4.2.1|SMF80:13-00 RACF|ALTUSER|9|cat=SMF dvchost=SDS1 cs4Label=CpuSerial cs4=0003BEF72965 dst=172.22.157.10 rt=Oct 03 2022 14:1 0:43 duid=BAJZ1 dproc=BAJZ1 externalId=0187793.0 rawEvent=SMF80:13-00 RACF dpriv=CUSTOMER cs1Label=Rule cs1=BYP:Bypass Rule Checks reason=Success EvtCd=EvtQual=13-00 s priv=SPECIAL cs5Label=Reason1 cs5=Chg-to-class-of-prof,SETROPTS-AUDIT(cl)/Profile-chg,AUDITOR-did-SAUDIT,SPECIAL requestClientApplication=TCPS0056 DT006=RACF-Command:Kw d=SPECIAL%%User=BCVR0 DT038=User/Group-Owner-of-Profile:CUSTOMER DT049=User-on-ACEE:ART ZEIGLER DT053=User-security-Tokens:PORTofEntry:TCPS0056,OwningUser:BAJZ1 ,0 wningGroupId:CUSTOMER





Recommendations

- Enable and monitor SMF type 80 security records (written every time a RACF command is executed successfully or not)
- ► All RACF commands should be monitored, especially those that:
 - Add new user profiles (ADDUSER)
 - Alter user profiles particularly to increase authority (ALTUSER
 - Changes to the password associated with a user profile (PASSWORD)
 - Add new data set profiles or alter existing profiles (ADDSD, ALTDSD)

- Alter resource access lists (PERMIT)
- Define or alter general resource profiles (RDEFINE, RALTER)
- Change or display the status of the RACF database (RVARY)
- Set RACF options (SETROPTS)



Vulnerability: Password Guessing

- An "unauthorized user" has learned (by offloading the RACF database, guessing, or intuiting) the IDs of privileged accounts
- User attempts to logon by guessing passwords, stopping short of the sitedefined limit on invalid passwords
- Legitimate users enter invalid passwords all the time
 - But having multiple invalid attempts on 2 different user IDs from the same terminal within minutes of each other is suspicious

<113>Nov 22 11:34:51 SDS1 CEF:0|SDS|VSA|4.2.1 SMF80:01-01 RACF|JOB-INITIATION/TS0-LOGON/TS0-LOGON/TS0-LOGOF[9|cat=SMF dvchost=SDS1 cs4Label=CpuSerial cs4=0003BEF72965 dst=172.22.157.10 rt=Nov 22 2022 11:34:51 duid=BCVR1 dproc=BCVR1 externalId=0075379.0 rawEvent=SMF80:
 01-01 RACF dpriv=CUSTOMER cs1Label=Rule cs1=BYP:Bypass Rule Checks reason=INVALID-PASSWORD EvtCd=EvtQual=01-01 cs2Label=descriptor cs2=VIOLATION cs5Label=Reason1 cs5=RACROUTE-REQ-VERIFY,or-initACEE-failed fileType=VIOLATIO requestClientApplication=031TCP18
 DT049=User-on-ACEE:COLIN VAN DER ROSS DT053=User-security-Tokens:PORTofEntry:031TCP18,0wningUser:BCVR1 ,0wningGroupId:CUSTOMER

<113>Nov 22 11:34:51 SDS1 CEF:0[SDS]VSA[4.2.1]WT0[ICH408I]9[cat=WT0 dvchost=SDS1 cs4Label=CpuSerial cs4=0003BEF72965 dst=172.22.157.10 rt=Nov 22 2022 11:34:51 duid=BCVR1 dproc=SDS1 externalId=0075380.0 reason=ICH408I cs1Label=Rule cs1=MES:ICH408I* cs2Label=me ssage cs2=ICH408I USER(BCVR1) GROUP(CUSTOMER) [AME(COLIN VAN DER ROSS) LOGON/JOB INITIATION - INVALID PASSWORD ENTERED AT TERMINAL 031TCP18



Vulnerability: Password Guessing

- If you not using Secure TN3270 access to your mainframe, Passwords can be sniffed using an IP Packet Trace
- Here is an example of an IP Packet Trace capturing a TSO LOGON
 - Video 2





Recommendations

- Enable and monitor SMF type 80 records that log invalid passwords
- Alternatively monitor console messages (ICH*, ACF*, TSS*) that log invalid password attempts to the system log
- Pay particular attention to attempts to access privileged user IDs and attempts to access different user IDs from the same terminal or IP address
- Implement secure TN3270 access to the mainframe



Vulnerability: Unauthorized Access to PARMLIB

▶ In the Security event below the user attempts to update PARMLIB

>	10/3/22 3:15:28.000 PM	<pre><113>Oct 03 14:15:28 SDS1 CEF:0 SDS VSA 4.2. [WT0]ICH408I]9 cat=WT0 dvchost=SDS1 cs4Label=CpuSerial cs4=0003BEF72965 dst=172.22.157.10 rt=Oct 03 2022 14:15:28 duid=BCVR0 dproc=TSU09888 externalId=0187796.0 reason=ICH408I cs1Label=Rule cs1=ME S:ICH408I* cs2Label=message cs2=ICH408I USER(BCVR0) GROUP(CUSTOMER) NAME(COLIN VAN DER ROSS) SYS1.PARMLIB CL(DATASET) VOL(HCDZ13) INSUFFICIENT ACCESS AUTHORITY FROM SYS1.** (G) ACCESS INTENT(UPDATE) ACCESS ALLOWED(READ) host = SDS1 _ source = tcp:5141 _ sourcetype = syslog</pre>
>	10/3/22 3:15:28.000 PM	<pre><113>Oct 03 14:15:28 SDS1 CEF:0 SDS VSA 4.2.1 SMF80:02-01 RACF RESOURCE-ACCESS 9 cat=SMF dvchost=SDS1 cs4Label=CpuSerial cs4=0003BEF72965 dst=172.22.157.10 rt=Oct 03 2022 14:15:28 duid=BCVR0 dproc=BCVR0 externalId=0187795.0 rawEvent=SMF80:02- 01 RACF dpriv=CUSTOMER cs1Label=Rule cs1=BYP:Bypass Rule Checks reason=INSUFFICIENT-AUTHORITY EvtCd=EvtQual=02-01 cs2Label=descriptor cs2=VIOLATION spriv=Normal cs5Label=Reason1 cs5=Due-to-AUDIT,or-RACHECK-exit,or-Failsoft fileType=VIOLATIO requestClientApplication=031TCP35 filePath=SYS1.PARMLIB DT001=Resource=name:SYS1.PARMLIB DT003=ACCESS-Authority-requested:UPDATE DT004=ACCESS-Type:Equal=mandatory-access-chk DT005=DataSet-level=number:00 DT015=VOlSer:HCD213 DT017=Class=Name:D ATASET DT033=Generic=Resource=or=Profile:Generic=Profile=is=used,New=DSN=renamed-by=DEFINE,SYS1.** DT038=User/Group=Owner=of=Profile:SYSPROG DT049=User=on=ACEE:COLIN VAN DER ROSS DT053=User-security=Tokens:PORTofEntry:031TCP35,OwningUser:BCVR 0</pre>

Although update is denied, the user has read authority which allows access to a wealth of information including a list of APF authorized libraries and the program properties table that includes programs that can bypass RACF



SDS VITALSIGNS SIEM AGENT for z/OS | MAINFRAME ANALYTICS LTD



Vulnerability: Unauthorized Access to APF Libraries

User attempts to edit an APF authorized library and is denied by RACF

ICH408I USER(BCVR0) GROUP(CUSTOMER) NAME(COLIN VAN DER ROSS) 362
SDSQ.VSA.LOADLIB CL(DATASET) VOL(SDSSY1)
INSUFFICIENT ACCESS AUTHORITY
ACCESS INTENT(READ) ACCESS ALLOWED(NONE)

The SIEM Agent captures the event and forwards it to the SIEM in realtime

<113>Oct 03 14:00:45 SDS1 CEF:0|SDS|VSA|4.2.1|WT0|ICH408I|9|cat=WT0 dvchost=SDS1 cs4Label=CpuSerial cs4=0003BEF72965 dst=172.22.157.10 rt=Oct 03 2022 14:00:45 duid=BCVR0 dproc=TSU09888 externalId=0187772.0 reason=ICH408I cs1L abel=Rule cs1=MES:ICH408I* cs2Label=message cs2=ICH408I USER(BCVR0) GROUP(CUSTOMER) NAME(COLIN VAN DER ROSS) SDSQ.VSA.LOADLIB CL(DATASET) VOL(SDSSY1) INSUFFICIENT ACCESS AUTHORITY ACCESS INTENT(READ) ACCESS ALLOWED(NONE)

<113>Oct 03 14:00:45 SDS1 CEF:0|SDS|VSA|4.2.1 SMF80:02-01 RACF|RESOURCE-ACCESS|9|cat=SMF dvchost=SDS1 cs4Label=CpuSerial cs4=0003BEF72965 dst=172.22.157.10 rt=Oct 03 2022 14:00:45 duid=BCVR0 dproc=BCVR0 externalId=0187771.0 r awEvent=SMF80:02-01 RACF dpriv=CUSTOMER cs1Label=Rule cs1 APF:SDSQ.VSA.LOADLIB reason=INSUFFICIENT-AUTHORITY EvtCd-EvtQual=02-01 cs2Label=descriptor cs2=VIOLATION spriv=Normal cs5Label=Reason1 cs 5=Due-to-AUDIT,or-RACHECK-exit,or-Failsoft fileType=VIOLATIO requestClientApplication=031TCP35 filePath=SDSQ.VSA.LOADLIB DT001=Resource-name:SDSQ.VSA.LOADLIB DT003=ACCESS-Authority-requested:READ DT004=ACCESS-Type DT005=DataS et-level-number:00 DT015=VolSer:SDSSY1 DT017=Class-Name:DATASET DT038=User/Group-Owner-of-Profile:IBMUSER DT049=User-on-ACEE:COLIN VAN DER ROSS DT053=User-security-Tokens:PORTofEntry:031TCP35,OwningUser:BCVR0 ,OwningGroupI d:CUSTOMER





Vulnerability: Unauthorized Access to APF Libraries

Our unauthorized user attempts to add a dataset to APF via JCL. This time he is successful

14:24:49.94 BCVRØ 14:24:49.97 BCVRØ ØØØØØ990 SETPROG APF,ADD,DSN=SDSQ.VSA.LOADLIB,VOL=SDSSY1 00000090 CSV410I DATA SET SDSQ.VSA.LOADLIB ON VOLUME SDSS (113>0ct 03 13:56:34 SDS1 CEF:0|SDS|VSA|4.2.1 SMF90 APF1ist|Add]9|cat=SMF dvchost=SDS1 cs4Label=CpuSerial cs4=0003BEF72965 dst=172.22.157.10 rt=0ct 03 2022 13:56:34 duid=*MASTER* dproc=*MASTER* externalId=0187761.0 rawEvent=S

- Now that the unauthorized user has discovered this "back door" he is free to add unauthorized modules to the APF library
- Any additions to APF should be investigated & escalated immediately

SDS VITALSIGNS SIEM AGENT for z/OS | MAINFRAME ANALYTICS LTD



Vulnerability: Unauthorized Access to UNIX files

Our unauthorized user attempts to add a UNIX file but is denied



Attempts to alter authority using the SU command but is denied and captured by the SIEM Agent

10/31/22 <113>Oct 31 08:25:03 SDS1 CEF:0|SDS|VSA|4.2.1|SMF80:39-00 RACF|z/OS-UNIX-Process-COMPLETION-(UNDUB)|9|cat=SMF dvchost=SDS1 cs4Label=CpuSerial cs4=0003BEF72965 dst=172.22.157.10 rt=Oct 31 2022 08:25:03 duid=BCVR1 dproc=BCVR13 externalId=003 9:25:03.000 AM 2015.0 rawEvent=SMF80:39-00 RACF dpriv=CUSTOMER cs1Label=Rule cs1=BYP:Bypass Rule Checks reason=Process-completed EvtCd=EvtQual=39-00 cs3Label=Auth2 cs3=UNIX:SuperUser cs5Label=Reason1 cs5=Chg-to-class-of-prof,SETROPTS-AUDIT(c) requestCl ientApplication=031TCP03 DT017=Class-Name:PROCESS DT049=User-on-ACEE:COLIN VAN DER ROSS DT053=User-security-Tokens:PORTofEntry:031TCP03,OwningUser:BCVR1 ,OwningGroupId:CUSTOMER DT256=Audit-Service:UNDUB_EXIT DT257=0ld-real-z/OS-UNIX-UID: 000000 DT258=0ld-effective-z/OS-UNIX-UID:000000 DT259=0ld-saved-z/OS-UNIX-UID:000000 DT259=0ld-real-z/OS-UNIX-GID:000041 DT261=0ld-effective-z/OS-UNIX-GID:000041

host = SDS1 source = tcp:5141 sourcetype = syslog





Recommendations

- Enable and monitor type 80 SMF records which can be written for unsuccessful UNIX file access
- Enable and monitor type 80 SMF records which can be written for UNIX command attempts including
 - Check-ACCESS-to-DIRECTORY
 - Check-ACCESS-to-FILE
 - CHAUDIT (Change audit options)
 - ► KILL

- SETEGID (Change effective GID)
- SETEUID (Change effective UID)

- SETGID (Change of GID)
- SETUID (Change of UID)
- Enable SMF 109 records (Syslogd)



Vulnerability: Submitting JCL using FTP

Unauthorized user logs in using FTP

Then changes file type to JCL

```
230 BCVR1 is logged on. Working directory is "BCVR1.".
Command:
site file=jes
>>> SITE file=jes
200 SITE command was accepted
Command:
put 'bcvr1.colin.jcl(vipsamp)'
>>> SITE VARrecfm LRECL=80 RECFM=VB BLKSIZE=27920
200 SITE command was accepted
>>> PORT 10,31,0,1,68,244
200 Port request OK.
>>> STOR 'bcvr1.colin.jcl(vipsamp)'
***
```



Vulnerability: Submitting JCL using FTP

The batch job fails because the attempt to circumvent security did not work

<113>Oct 13 10:56:59 SDS1 CEF:0|SDS|VSA|4.2.1|SMF80:02-01 RACF|RESOURCE-ACCESS|9|cat=SMF dvchost=SDS1 cs4Label=CpuSerial cs4=0003BEF72965 dst=172.22.157.10 rt=Oct 13 2022 10:56:59 duid=BCVR1 dproc=BCVR1 externalId=0000008.0 r awEvent=SMF80:02-01 RACF dpriv=CUSTOMER cs1Label=Rule cs1=BYP:Bypass Rule Checks reason=INSUFFICIENT-AUTHORITY EvtCd=EvtQual=02-01 cs2Label=descriptor cs2=VIOLATION spriv=Normal cs5Label=Reason1 cs5=Due-to-AUDIT,or-RACHECKexit,or-Failsoft fileType=VIOLATIO requestClientApplication=AC169D0A filePath=SFM_SS.PORT DT001=Resource-name:SFM_SS.PORT DT003=ACCESS-Authority-requested:READ DT004=ACCESS-Type DT005=DataSet-level-number:00 DT017=Class-Name: XFACILIT DT033=Generic-Resource-or-Profile:Generic-Profile-is-used,New-DSN-renamed-by-DEFINE,SFM_SS.* DT038=User/Group-Owner-of-Profile:BAJZ1 DT049=User-on-ACEE:COLIN VAN DER ROSS DT053=User-security-Tokens:PORTofEntry:AC169D 0A,OwningUser:BCVR1 ,OwningGroupId:CUSTOMER

SDS VITALSIGNS SIEM AGENT for z/OS | MAINFRAME ANALYTICS LTD



Vulnerability: FTP Visibility

- What files are being sent to and from the mainframe?
- Are those users authorized to download & upload those files?
- READ access on a file will allow a user to download a file from the mainframe
- Subsequent dissemination of the files & information is out of your control

- <113>Oct 20 10:49:39 SDS1 CEF:0[SDS[VSA]4.2.1]SWF14 DataSet[Open-Inp]9[cat=SWF dvchost=SDS1 cs4Label=CpuSerial cs4=00038EF72965 dst=172.22.157.10 rt=0ct 20 2022 10:49:39 duid=FTP106 dproc=FTP106 externalId=0012476.0 rawEven
 t=SWF14 DataSet cs1Label=Nule cs1=FIL:BCVR1.* cs2Label=STEPname cs2=SCPUT cs3Label=Program cs5=BPX8ATSL filePath=BCVR1.VFTP.BIN cs6Label=RevErlag cs6=0ASD[extdInfo/
- >> 013-0ct_20 10:46:39 051 CEF+0[050]VSA[A2,1] SPET19783 [OVLP[FTC(IL1]5]cat=SPE dvhotat=SD51 cs4abab=SE72965 dst=712.22.157.10 rt=Oct_20 2022 10:46:39 dul=Abcvrl dpvc=rb20de externall=40012475.0 ramEve nt=SPET19:03 TCP/IP cs1label=Hule cs1=F1L:BOX#1:# dev1CeFrocesshame=SFTPC reason=0883 splex=MSMPLEX start=2022-10=20 16:49:39 dev12022-10=20 16:49:39 dul=Abcvrl dpvc=rb20de external.15:122 dvc=12 dvc=12
- > <1130ct 20 10:63:34 5031 CFr(0155)(54)(4.2.1)</p>
 EF90503(54)(4.2.1)
 <pEF90503(54)(4.2.1)</p>
 <pEF90503(54)(4.2.1)</p>
 <pEF90503(54)(4.2



Vulnerability: FTP Visibility

If you are not using Secure FTP (SFTP, FTPs, etc.), passwords and data can be "sniffed" using an IP packet trace. Are those users authorized to download & upload those files?



SDS VITALSIGNS SIEM AGENT for z/OS | MAINFRAME ANALYTICS LTD



Recommendations

- Enable and monitor type 119 SMF records, which can be written for FTP and Telnet activity
- Monitor for increases in activity that are outside the norm and access from unexpected locations
- Evaluate FTP usage at your site
 - Intranet
 - Data that is of low importance
 - Any data that is being sent outside of your company firewall using FTP is a red flag
- ► Migrate to a secure form of FTP



- Mainframes can be hacked
- ► Take stock of your organization's current situation
 - Do you have a SIEM in place?
 - Are z/OS event logs being sent to the SIEM?
 - How are you handling important WTORs and SMF records?
- Identify weaknesses and aim to improve
- We are here to help. Contact SDS for additional information on VSA and the visibility it provides



Would you like additional information?

